## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

ne:_	5/27/24 SHAMROCK ENVIRONTED Inspector: Kyly 1:00 km Weather Conditions: Made	her Conditions: Mady Sunn 48'		
		Yes	No	Notes
CRI	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	4)		V. angersten der Steiner (der er eine der eine d
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		$ \lambda $	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		k	<del>a kanana kan</del>
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
R Fı	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	<b>(</b> ))	A	
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	K	les Lanux es l'almidia es et la comuni	terre de la companya
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	<del>- in a second desired and a second s</del>	K	<del>a ing katanan katanan</del>
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	36	X	Additional Control of the Control of
)	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	
rivari L	Were the citizen complaints logged?			